

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/625,916	07/26/2000	Randy K. Roushall	10002969-1	8206	
22878 7	7590 10/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EL SHAMMAA, MARY A		
INTELLECTU	JAL PROPERTY ADMINI	STRATION, LEGAL DEPT.	10010	DADED MURADED	
P.O. BOX 759	9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
M/S DL429			2883		
LOVELAND	CO 80537-0500				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			Edu
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/625,916	ROUSHALL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary A. El-Shammaa	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/16	<u>5/04</u> .		
·=	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	_
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 7/26/00 is/are: a) ☑ ac  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	!. 
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been recei Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail ) Notice of Informa 6) Other		

Application/Control Number: 09/625,916

Art Unit: 2883

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Double Patenting

- The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 2. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,347 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/625,916

Art Unit: 2883

5. Both the application and the patent disclose a data acquisition system and method and a time-of-flight mass spectrometer comprising an accumulator having two or more parallel accumulation paths and configured to accumulate corresponding data samples across a transient sequence through at least two different accumulation paths to provide a summation of said data samples of said different paths.

Page 3

6. Claims 1-10 of the Application correspond to claims 1-9 of the patent; claims 11-13 correspond to claims 10-14 of the patent; and claims 14-20 correspond to claims 15-20.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 5,712,480 discloses a time-of-flight data accumulation system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary A. El-Shammaa whose telephone number is 571.272.2469. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 571.272.2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/625,916

Art Unit: 2883

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAE

September 30, 2004

Nikita WELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER 09/30/04

Page 4